

I, Peter Ivan Dunne am entitled to assert absolute privilege over all correspondence contained herein but I have elected to make it known to demonstrate the abuse of process by Magistrates Court in their Malfeasance of office while perverting the course of Justice

Complaint (ref: 29055646)

InboxINBOX/legal/familyINBOX/legal/uk criminal



HM Courts and Tribunals Service
<replies@optic.justice.gov.uk>

Tue, Apr 19,
2022,
6:35 PM

to me 

Dear Mr Dunne

Thank you for your recent complaint received on 14 April 2022, concerning a notification order made by North Tyneside Magistrates' Court on 15 October 2021. I understand that you want to raise concerns about the legality of the proceedings.

I have reviewed the paperwork for your case in order to respond properly to your concerns. On 7 October 2021 Northumbria Police contacted Newcastle Magistrates' Court to make an application for a notification order under s.97 of the Sexual Offences Act 2003, and you were provided with paperwork asking you to attend court. You subsequently attended, and after hearing from you and from the police force lawyer, the magistrates decided to make the order you are concerned about.

The police can apply for these orders where someone living or planning to live in a particular force area is convicted of a criminal offence outside England and Wales occurring after 1st September 1997, and that offence would have been equivalent to one of the sexual offences listed in part 3 of the Sexual Offences Act 2003 if it had been committed in England or Wales.

The purpose of these orders is to mirror the notification requirements which must be imposed by the English and Welsh Courts under s.82 of the Sexual Offences Act 2003 whenever someone is sentenced for a relevant sexual offence committed in England or Wales. Notification requirements are generally concerned with monitoring someone's whereabouts and include obligations to notify things such as changes of name or address to police. They last for a fixed length of time, depending on the offence and the sentence imposed.

In your case Northumbria Police made the application because they alleged you were convicted of an offence of sexual intercourse with a mentally impaired person in Ireland on 19th October 2007, committed on the 1st July 2001. They produced a certificate of conviction from the Irish courts to verify this. At the hearing the magistrates were satisfied that you had received the conviction, and made the order.

You have raised an issue with the date on the certificate. I have reviewed the certificate provided and it is clear that it dates from 6 October 2009, which is the date on which the sentence was imposed by His Honour Judge Teehan, rather than the handwritten endorsement which appears to read 2007. The certificate is separately endorsed with the 2009 date overleaf. I am therefore unable to uphold your complaint that the magistrates dealt improperly with your case in making this order.

I appreciate that this is not the outcome you were hoping for and if you think that the magistrates' court has made a legal mistake, you can appeal to the Crown Court under s.101 of the Sexual Offences Act 2003. Contact details for Newcastle Crown Court are enquiries.newcastle.crowncourt@justice.gov.uk and 0191 201 2000. If you wish to appeal you must do so promptly and you may also wish to take independent legal advice.


If you're unhappy with the way I've handled your complaint, you can ask our Senior Legal Manager Graham Bishop to review it. You can write to him at this address with details of why you're not happy: Newcastle Magistrates' Court, the Law Courts, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 3LA.

Yours sincerely,

Emily King

Acting Legal Team Manager

Newcastle Upon Tyne Magistrates' Court



NOTE: Please do not edit the subject line when replying to this email.

Apr 20,
2022,
8:27 PM

Peter Dunne
<peterjazenga@gmail.com>

to Kieran

Hi Kieran

I did ask Tim for assistance finding competent representation re the Magistrates court matter and the SOR but he has failed to come back to me as promised and this matter has now taken a new twist

I demanded of the court a full hearing and legal counsel as is my right and below is the fool response I got

Clearly I need to take a judicial review of this matter as it amounts to deliberate denial of access to the court by Emily King along with deliberate misrepresentation of the facts

No evidence whatsoever was served to me prior to the hearing and I obtained the information later from a police officer so what Emily King refers to is the evidence I submitted to challenge the legality of their claims

King is clearly unaware that she cannot remedy any detail whatsoever on an Irish order and is absolutely incompetent as to the order of precedence of Irish court rulings

I need your help securing solicitor ASAP

You undoubtedly have a team of solicitors you are familiar with and I am happy to go on the basis of your recommendation alone

Naturally I intend said solicitor to retain you for this matter or if you are unavailable, one of your colleagues at your chambers

Best regards

Peter

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject: Complaint (ref: 29055646)

Date: Tue, 19 Apr 2022 16:35:04 +0000
From: HM Courts and Tribunals Service <replies@optic.justice.gov.uk>
To: peterjazenga@gmail.com

Dear Mr Dunne

Thank you for your recent complaint received on 14 April 2022, concerning a notification order made by North Tyneside Magistrates' Court on 15 October 2021. I understand that you want to raise concerns about the legality of the proceedings.

I have reviewed the paperwork for your case in order to respond properly to your concerns. On 7 October 2021 Northumbria Police contacted Newcastle Magistrates' Court to make an application for a notification order under s.97 of the Sexual Offences Act 2003, and you were provided with paperwork asking you to attend court. You subsequently attended, and after hearing from you and from the police force lawyer, the magistrates decided to make the order you are concerned about.

The police can apply for these orders where someone living or planning to live in a particular force area is convicted of a criminal offence outside England and Wales occurring after 1st September 1997, and that offence would have been equivalent to one of the sexual offences listed in part 3 of the Sexual Offences Act 2003 if it had been committed in England or Wales.

The purpose of these orders is to mirror the notification requirements which must be imposed by the English and Welsh Courts under s.82 of the Sexual Offences Act 2003 whenever someone is sentenced for a relevant sexual offence committed in England or Wales. Notification requirements are generally concerned with monitoring someone's whereabouts and include obligations to notify things such as changes of name or address to police. They last for a fixed length of time, depending on the offence and the sentence imposed.

In your case Northumbria Police made the application because they alleged you were convicted of an offence of sexual intercourse with a mentally impaired person in Ireland on 19th October 2007, committed on the 1st July 2001. They produced a certificate of conviction from the Irish courts to verify this. At the hearing the magistrates were satisfied that you had received the conviction, and made the order.

You have raised an issue with the date on the certificate. I have reviewed the certificate provided and it is clear that it dates from 6 October 2009, which is the date on which the sentence was imposed by His Honour Judge Teehan, rather than the handwritten endorsement which appears to read 2007. The certificate is separately endorsed with the 2009 date overleaf. I am therefore unable to uphold your complaint that the magistrates dealt improperly with your case in making this order.

I appreciate that this is not the outcome you were hoping for and if you think that the magistrates' court has made a legal mistake, you can appeal to the Crown Court under s.101 of the Sexual Offences Act 2003. Contact details for Newcastle Crown Court are enquiries.newcastle.crowncourt@justice.gov.uk and 0191 201 2000. If you wish to appeal you must do so promptly and you may also wish to take independent legal advice.


If you're unhappy with the way I've handled your complaint, you can ask our Senior Legal Manager Graham Bishop to review it. You can write to him at this address with details of why you're not happy: Newcastle Magistrates' Court, the Law Courts, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 3LA.

Yours sincerely,

Emily King

Acting Legal Team Manager

Newcastle Upon Tyne Magistrates' Court



NOTE: Please do not edit the subject line when replying to this email.



Peter Dunne
<peterjazenga@gmail.com>


Apr 22, 2022,
10:30 AM

to HM

Dear Emily King

I have attached warrant document and summary of evidence from Nuneaton Court, 2003

As this was the only extradition I have any record of any case between 2001 & 2007, can you please confirm if this is the same case upon which the Magistrates made their judgement?

I have attached DNA retention certificate of the High Court, Ireland re the 2001 case, 2007 conviction, can you confirm that the court and applicant assert this is the DNA evidence used to confirm the identity of the defendant in this matter

As I do not have the name or service address for counsel for the applicant, I have been unable to serve the applicant, please copy my correspondence to same and provide the contact details of the applicant's legal representation to me

Finally, I do not have the names of the Magistrates who made the ruling, under the law, I am entitled to this information and indeed it is essential as part of my preparation to challenge before another court, please provide this information complete with the court address and any assigned court identifiers

Regards

Peter

9 Attachments • Scanned by Gmail



HM Courts and Tribunals Service
<replies@optic.justice.gov.uk>

Apr 25,
2022,
1:56 PM

to me

Dear Mr Dunne

Thank you for your further email. You have asked for contact details for the applicant in the original hearing, in order to serve a copy of your appeal on the Chief Constable. The contact details you require are: Legal Department, Force Headquarters, Middle Engine Lane, Wallsend, Tyne & Wear NE28 9NT. You will need to serve your documents directly on them, as the magistrates' court has no further role in your appeal to the Crown Court.

I am unable to assist you with your query around DNA evidence as the magistrates' court was not asked to enquire into it at the last hearing. Under s.73 of the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984, a certificate of conviction is sufficient to prove that the person named in the certificate has been convicted or acquitted of the offence. The magistrates' court does not need to hear all the original trial evidence.

As requested, the names of the magistrates who dealt with your original hearing are: Mr R Ellerton and Mrs J Thompson. To assist you in preparing your appeal documents, the hearing took place at North Tyneside Magistrates' Court, Court Code 2852, The Courthouse, Tynemouth Road, North Shields, Tyne & Wear, NE30 1AG.

Yours sincerely

Emily King

Acting Legal Team Manager

Newcastle Upon Tyne Magistrates' Court

From: peterjazenga@gmail.com

To: replies@optic.justice.gov.uk

Sent: 22/04/2022 09:30

This e-mail and any attachments is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail. Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail. This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. Monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.



Peter Dunne
<peterjazenga@gmail.com>

Apr 25,
2022,
11:13 PM

to HM

Emily

I need the name and address of the legal counsel appointed for me on that date because I asked for counsel as is my legal right but was provided no information and was not given representation, also a copy of the order and the trial transcript along with a copy of all documents provided to the court by the applicant because I was served only the summons, no evidence whatsoever was provided to me and I was denied any opportunity to speak in my defense or address any evidentiary matters at all

The direction of the court was temporary until such time as I had a full hearing, I expect an answer as to why the Magistrates court has denied me a full hearing

Regards

Peter Dunne



Peter Dunne
<peterjazenga@gmail.com>

May 3,
2022,
1:51 AM

to HM

Dear Elaine

Considering the fact there was NO served evidence whatsoever before the court and I was not provided any copy of the certificates you now claim the police depended upon to make their case until 12/12/2021, a full 2 months and 6 days after the hearing, I must now demand of you the full transcript of the hearing and to see any and all documents submitted by the applicant

I have witness statement certifying that no evidence was served to me or made known to me consequently there is no evidence upon which the court could possibly have made any determination

Under what law an English court has jurisdiction to amend an Irish court order, wherein the CERTIFICATE OF CONVICTION & SENTENCE is changed by the court to an endorsement?

In the matter of the dates on the certificate of conviction and sentence, what law was used to amend the dates on the Irish order?

Also as the stated English address for the defendant is Ruby, England, an address I have never been to, let alone lived at, how did the court rectify this fact considering it is an IRISH court order?

That certificate of conviction and sentence shows clearly the defendant was born 20 October 1964, this is not my date of birth, under what law did the ENGLISH COURT OVERRULE the Irish court order and amend the date of birth of the defendant and on the basis of what evidence was the date of birth changed?

Without prejudice

Peter Ivan Dunne

On 19/04/2022 17:35, HM Courts and Tribunals Service wrote:



HM Courts and Tribunals Service
<replies@optic.justice.gov.uk>

May 3,
2022,
10:35 AM

to me

Dear Mr Dunne

Thank you for your further enquiry. I'm uncertain whether this has reached the intended recipient as it is addressed to someone else. As it appears to relate to your previous enquiry I have assumed that it is for my attention.

The magistrates' court is not a court of record and transcripts of hearings are not made. Parties who are present during a hearing may rely on their own notes in preparation for an appeal. The other matters that you have raised form the grounds of your appeal and these need to be directed to the Crown Court as previously advised.


On this occasion the magistrates' court is unable to assist you further. I strongly urge you to act promptly in registering your appeal with the Crown Court as there is no guarantee that a late appeal will be accepted. Contact details for Newcastle Crown Court are in the email thread below.

Yours sincerely,

Emily King

Acting Legal Team Manager

Newcastle Upon Tyne Magistrates' Court



NOTE: Please do not edit the subject line when replying to this email.

From: peterjazenga@gmail.com

To: replies@optic.justice.gov.uk

Sent: 03/05/2022 00:51

This e-mail and any attachments is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail. Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail. This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. Monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.



Peter Dunne
<peterjazenga@gmail.com>

May 3,
2022,
10:46 AM

to HM

Emily King

The order was an interim or temporary order until such time as there was a full hearing

Are you the Magistrates court now refusing to provide me a full hearing?

Regards

Peter Dunne

On 03/05/2022 09:35, HM Courts and Tribunals Service wrote:

Dear Mr Dunne



HM Courts and Tribunals Service
<replies@optic.justice.gov.uk>

May 5, 2022,
5:27 PM

to me

Dear Mr Dunne

Thank you for bringing this matter to my attention. Having checked the court's records you appear to have been served with an incorrect form of notice. The magistrates pronounced a full order, which is confirmed in the court's internal records and by the legal adviser present in court and the applicant's legal representatives.


I have arranged for a correction to the record and I attach a copy of the same for your attention. This is the order you will require for any appeal.

Yours sincerely

Emily King

Acting Legal Team Manager

Newcastle Upon Tyne Magistrates' Court



NOTE: Please do not edit the subject line when replying to this email.

From: peterjazenga@gmail.com

To: replies@optic.justice.gov.uk

Sent: 03/05/2022 09:46



One attachment • Scanned by Gmail



Peter Dunne

<peterjazenga@gmail.com>

Jun 6, 2022,
8:37 PM

to Tim

Hi Tim

As Kayleigh Leadbitter has insisted on continuing her rant about multiple rapes and accusing me in front of my wife and the translator, I need you to prepare a draft statement and lodge all of the following emails and attachments post haste

I want it stated to the court that she has undermined any possibility of participation in ANY meeting involving this LIAR and slanderous incompetent

The words liar and slander are to be used but I will leave the rest to your guidance

I am also forwarding the actual PDF files to you and you are to lodge those also

Take careful note of the following facts

The Irish Certificate of conviction and sentence has 2 issue dates, the first 6th October 2007 and the second, 6 October 2009, both show the defendant's date of birth as 20 October 1964 and as you know, no English court can look behind this, make any alteration to any detail on the face of these Irish documents or interpret them in any way other than expressly stated

6 October 2007 predates the trial by 11 days, no presumption of innocence, 6 October 2009 supercedes all previous Circuit court orders on identity, it is binary and absolute, there is NO DOUBT whatsoever, the conviction upon which they depend belongs to a third party

Take careful note of the content of the emails, in particular the date of the issue of the certificate, note it post dates the Magistrates hearing and was NOT IN EVIDENCE, now compare that to the claims by the Magistrates clerk

Also take very careful note of the date the Magistrates court issued the second judgement and how it conflicts with the first and my wife's witness statement

If this does not have you screaming abuse of process and miscarriage,
you are not doing your job

This is to be put in the family law case as served evidence with the
very very clear statement that it amounts to deliberate violation of
rights by both the English and Irish courts and have permanently
prejudiced my family and I before the family court to the point that to
continue against us would be aiding and abetting the violations
committed by the court services

Also take note of the claim by the Magistrates clerk that the
handwritten copy is an endorsement, on the top of it, the title shows
clearly it is a CERTIFICATE OF CONVICTION AND/OR SENTENCE, in this
instance it is both as neither is crossed out

Dual conflicting orders should not exist in any case ever as you well know

The fact I have no legal counsel to handle the Magistrates matter and no
fund to do it is of itself a denial of access to justice and violation
of articles 6 & 8 of the HRA

Best regards

Peter

10 Attachments • Scanned by Gmail



Jun 7,
2022,
1:14 PM

Tim Barker
<Tim.Barker@lawsonandthompson.c
o.uk>

to me 

Dear Peter

Many thanks for your email and the various attachments.

As far as I can see nothing that you have sent to me takes us any further with regards to the care case.

The documents you have sent to me relate to your appeal/complaint regarding the proceedings at North Tyneside Magistrates Court which proceeded the commencement of these proceedings, going back to October 2021. The documentation from 2007 etc. I believe that I have seen before and you have sent to me.

I was aware of the proceedings in North Tyneside because we discussed it at the very outset of proceedings and have discussed it since.

I have already advised you that unfortunately that is not a matter that I can assist with. I understand my colleague Graham Crouth has spoken to you about it. I do not know the detail of what was discussed but understand that he indicated that he was doubtful about legal aid being granted.

The email you have sent refers to also your complaint about Kayleigh Leadbitter continuing to "rant about multiple rapes" and accusing you in front of your wife and the translator.

I do not see how your annoyance in relation to this is relevant in terms of the other documentation you have sent.

Obviously they are connected in that they refer to sexual offending or risk but again none of this is new.

As you know you were not vindicated in terms of the allegation but the Local Authority have not proven them.

It is absolutely crucial from your point of view that you co-operate with Dr Matthews in terms of her report.

I am really not quite sure what you want me to put in a statement because the documents you have sent accompanying your email take us no further in the care proceedings.

I am of course happy to discuss this with you.

Yours sincerely

Tim Barker

Member

QualitySolicitors Lawson & Thompson

108 Front Street East | Bedlington | NE22 5AE

T 01670 530700 | F 01670 531164

W www.qualitysolicitors.com/lawsonandthompson

FRAUD WARNING

Criminals hack email accounts. Our bank details will not change. An email with any change of bank details is possibly bogus. Contact the person who is dealing with your case in a reliable and established way – using a telephone number you already know or from our website but not the email you believe to be us and

from a suspicious source. We cannot accept liability for a transfer of money to a bogus account in these circumstances.

We are looking for an ambitious family lawyer to join our team. Good career prospects including partnership prospects for the right applicant. All levels of fee earner considered. If you are interested, please contact Tim Barker on 01670 530700 or by e mail on tim.barker@lawsonandthompson.co.uk to discuss further and in confidence. Alternatively email your CV to tim.barker@lawsonandthompson.co.uk

Please note that it is our policy to treat postal communications, faxes and emails in the same way and these are normally processed on a priority basis and according to the date and time of receipt. It is our aim to respond to you as quickly as possible but, as you will appreciate, volumes of incoming correspondence do fluctuate and this can occasionally cause a delay in response.

“In an effort to avoid the spread of Coronavirus (also known as Covid-19) we would ask that anyone who may be suffering with symptoms of the virus or who has been in contact with someone suffering from the virus, notify our receptionist or the person with conduct of the case at the earliest opportunity. Please also refrain from attending our offices at the present time. You may contact the firm via email or telephone. Please also note that although we take all reasonable steps to maintain and provide a good level of service during this difficult period, we do not have control over the impact this has on 3rd parties (e.g. Courts, Land Registry, Search Providers, Probate Offices etc.) therefore please note that unexpected delays may arise. We will keep you advised accordingly”.

The information contained in this email and any files transmitted with it is confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you have received this e-mail in error please notify the originator or telephone 01670 530700

QualitySolicitors Lawson and Thompson is a trading name of Lawson & Thompson Solicitors LLP which is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority (SRA No 626430)

Lawson & Thompson Solicitors is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with number OC402028. Registered office: 3 Regent Street, Blyth, Northumberland, NE24 1LQ. A list of members is available for inspection at the registered office.



Jun 7,
2022,
4:12 PM

Peter Dunne
<peterjazenga@gmail.com>

to Tim 

Hi Tim

Did you notice that the orders of Ireland conflict on details as does the 2 orders from the magistrates court
Neither of those facts were known to the judge, the second magistrates order was completely unknown at that time, just trust me on this, that judge took issue with the 1984 record due to dates, it adds doubts about the claims of the la, the police and Ireland

Regards

Peter



Jun 10, 2022,
3:42 PM

Tim Barker
<Tim.Barker@lawsonandthompson.
co.uk>

to me 

Dear Peter

Please see email below sent to the other sides as you have requested.

I still do not see how it makes any difference whatsoever to our case but I have done as you wish.

Yours sincerely

Tim Barker

Member

QualitySolicitors Lawson & Thompson

108 Front Street East | Bedlington | NE22 5AE

T 01670 530700 | F 01670 531164

W www.qualitysolicitors.com/lawsonandthompson

FRAUD WARNING

Criminals hack email accounts. Our bank details will not change. An email with any change of bank details is possibly bogus. Contact the person who is dealing with your case in a reliable and established way – using a telephone number you already know or from our website but not the email you believe to be us and from a suspicious source. We cannot accept liability for a transfer of money to a bogus account in these circumstances.

We are looking for an ambitious family lawyer to join our team. Good career prospects including partnership prospects for the right applicant. All levels of fee earner considered. If you are interested, please contact Tim Barker on 01670 530700 or by e mail on tim.barker@lawsonandthompson.co.uk to discuss further and in confidence. Alternatively email your CV to tim.barker@lawsonandthompson.co.uk

Please note that it is our policy to treat postal communications, faxes and emails in the same way and these are normally processed on a priority basis and according to the date and time of receipt. It is our aim to respond to you as quickly as possible but, as you will appreciate, volumes of incoming correspondence do fluctuate and this can occasionally cause a delay in response.

“In an effort to avoid the spread of Coronavirus (also known as Covid-19) we would ask that anyone who may be suffering with symptoms of the virus or who has been in contact with someone suffering from the virus, notify our receptionist or the person with conduct of the case at the earliest opportunity. Please also refrain from attending our offices at the present time. You may contact the firm via email or telephone. Please also note that although we take all reasonable steps to maintain and provide a good level of service during this difficult period, we do not have control over the impact this has on 3rd parties (e.g. Courts, Land Registry, Search Providers, Probate Offices etc.) therefore please note that unexpected delays may arise. We will keep you advised accordingly”.

The information contained in this email and any files transmitted with it is confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you have received this e-mail in error please notify the originator or telephone 01670 530700

QualitySolicitors Lawson and Thompson is a trading name of Lawson & Thompson Solicitors LLP which is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority (SRA No 626430)

Lawson & Thompson Solicitors is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with number OC402028. Registered office: 3 Regent Street, Blyth, Northumberland, NE24 1LQ. A list of members is available for inspection at the registered office.

-----Original Message-----

From: Tim Barker

Sent: 10 June 2022 14:39

To: katherine.reay

Cc: 'kyle >; laura.peel

Subject: FW: Complaint (ref: 29055646)

Dear All

On my clients instructions please find attached documentation that he forwarded to me by email dated 6 June. The reason for these being sent is to show the Irish Certificate of Conviction and Sentence has two issue dates, the first being 6 October 2007 and the second, 6 October 2009. Both show the date of birth as 20 October 1964.

6 October 2007 pre-dates the trial by 11 days.

You will also note my client has asked me to forward the Magistrates court hearing dates.

10 Attachments • Scanned by Gmail



Jun 20,
2022,
1:20 AM

Peter Dunne

<peterjazenga@gmail.com>

to HM 

Emily King

I, Peter Ivan Dunne require of you, the court service an approved copy of the reasons for the decision

This is not a request, it is a demand and I expect it to be provided without delay

My address is

35 Axwell Terrace

Swalwell

NE16 3JS

Phone 07932, 676847

Without prejudice

Peter Dunne



Jun 24,
2022,
1:36 PM

HM Courts and Tribunals Service
<replies@optic.justice.gov.uk>

to me 

Dear Mr Dunne

I acknowledge receipt of your earlier correspondence. The reason the court made the order is that it is obliged to do so under s.97(5) Sexual Offences Act 2003 if the applicant can demonstrate the following conditions are met:

(1) that you have been convicted of a relevant sexual offence. The magistrates were satisfied of this because of the certificate of conviction produced to the court;

(2) That the conviction, finding or caution occurred on or after 1st September 1997. Again, the magistrates were satisfied of this because of the certificate of conviction produced to the court; and

(3) That the notification period you would have been made subject to under s.82 Sexual Offences Act 2003 if you had been convicted of an equivalent offence in England and Wales has not yet expired. The notification period is determined by the sentence you received. As you were sentenced to 5 years' imprisonment for the offence, the notification period is indefinite.

Because these conditions were met the court had no discretion to refuse to make the order.


I trust this resolves your query.

Yours sincerely

Emily King

Acting Legal Team Manager

Newcastle Upon Tyne Magistrates' Court



NOTE: Please do not edit the subject line when replying to this email.

From: peterjazenga@gmail.com

To: replies@optic.justice.gov.uk

Sent: 20/06/2022 00:20



Jun 30,
2022,
2:10 AM

Peter Dunne
<peterjazenga@gmail.com>

to HM 

Dear Emily

As I was never served ANY evidence prior to the hearing or during the hearing, a hearing at which no evidence was presented, I am going to need a copy of any and all materials submitted by the police and their representatives to the court

I have never seen any such material and therefore I am denied my right to fair and just procedure

Peter



May 8,
2023,
1:26 PM

Peter Dunne

<peterjazenga@gmail.com>

to HM

to whom it concerns

I require a complete copy of any and all documents submitted to the courts by the Police and/or their representatives

I have yet to be served any evidence by the Police in this matter, however I do have additional information proving that the Magistrates court ruling was manifestly wrong and I am not the defendant in the Ireland matter at all

I also require a copy of the Interpol Green Notice claimed to be the initial trigger for this court action

In addition to this, I require copy of the Police, their legal representatives, the Magistrates, the Clerk of the court and you're certificates and license in Irish law qualifying any and all of you to make alterations to Irish court documents as you did when you claimed a certificate was an endorsement

I am in possession of a witness statement certifying that the document is in actual fact a CERTIFICATE, not an endorsement

Without prejudice

Peter Dunne



